

From: [Stenvall, Charlie](#)
To: [BrownScott, Jennifer](#)
Subject: Re: Article: JST Oyster Farm
Date: Tuesday, November 26, 2019 9:37:37 AM
Importance: High

The strength of the comments we provided- even if the RD withdrew them, is that the comments are literature based and those articles and the science behind them stand on their own. Regulatory entities can use that information to inform their responses. They may choose not to and people may say all sorts of things about our position but the science is the science- although there are differences of interpretation

Have a good thanksgivings Jennifer- I'll be back in the office this Friday

C

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 26, 2019, at 10:38 AM, BrownScott, Jennifer <jennifer_brownscott@fws.gov> wrote:

This article shows how our withdrawal of comments is being used to argue that there is no scientific reason to keep aquaculture out of the Refuge. The scientific information that we provided in our letters was relevant and important for decision makers to consider. It is really frustrating that it will no longer be used in the decision making process.

<https://www.peninsuladailynews.com/business/jamestown-sklallam-tribe-awaits-decision-on-oyster-farm/>

Hope your week is going well.

-jennifer

Jennifer Brown-Scott
Refuge Manager
Washington Maritime NWRC
715 Holgerson Rd
Sequim, WA 98382
office: (360) 457-8451 ext.22
fax: (360) 457-9778

~~Dungeness NWR~Protection Island NWR~San Juan Islands NWR~~
~~Copalis NWR~Flattery Rocks NWR~Quillayute Needles NWR~~